



SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that I have checked this thesis and in my opinion, this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Civil Engineering

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Engr. Mohd Faizal Bin Md Jaafar', is written over a horizontal line.

(Supervisor's Signature)

Full Name : ENGR. MOHD FAIZAL BIN MD JAAFAR

Position : LECTURER

Date : JUNE 09, 2017

:

STUDENT'S DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at Universiti Malaysia Pahang or any other institutions.



(Student's Signature)

Full Name : SYED MOHAMAD ARZMIERULL BIN SYED NASRUDDIN

ID Number : AA13067

Date : 9 June 2017

**DURABILITY PERFORMANCE OF ULTRA-HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE
(UHPC) INCORPORATING WASTE RUBBER POWDER**

SYED MOHAMAD ARZMIERULL BIN SYED NASRUDDIN

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements
for the award of the
Bachelor Degree in Civil Engineering

Faculty of Civil Engineering and Earth Resources
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG

JUNE 2017

PERPUSTAKAAN 170118 UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG G	
No. Perolehan 122085	No. Panggilan FKA5A
Tarikh 22 DEC 2017	· A79 2019 r Bc

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, thanks to god and his willingness, I have accomplished this final year project as a requirement to graduate and acquire a bachelor degree in civil engineering from University Malaysia Pahang (UMP). There are number of people without whom this achievement might not have been done, and to whom I am greatly indebted.

I wish to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Engr. Mohd Faizal Bin Md. Jaafar, for his hard work and guidance through this entire experimental and thesis process and for believing in my abilities, and for giving me the foundation to be in this area of knowledge, with his support and patience as I went through my journey in University Malaysia Pahang.

I wish to express my gratitude to the staff at the several laboratories of UMP for their cooperation and assistance received from them. A word of gratitude also to my friends Azhroul A/L Bah Piyan and Mohamad Amirulnain Bin Adi for assisting me during lab work.

Finally, I would like to express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my parents for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

ABSTRAK

Pelupusan sisa pepejal daripada bahan buangan oleh-produk adalah salah satu isu alam sekitar yang utama pada masa kini seperti tayar ada. Sebelum ini, penggunaan tayar yang dikitar semula sebagai pengganti agregat dalam pengeluaran konkrit konvensional telah diterokai. Sebagai perbandingan, Ultra High Performance Concrete (UHPC) adalah memperkenalkan dalam kajian sekarang ini. Pada masa kini, UHPC telah menjadi terkenal kerana ia kekuatan tinggi yang boleh dicapai sehingga 100 MPa. Selain itu, UHPC telah dihasilkan dengan menggunakan nisbah air simen yang rendah dan menggantikan OPC dengan 10% silika wasap meningkat dengan ketara kebolehterkerjaan, kekuatan dan ketahanan. Oleh itu, idea untuk menggantikan jumlah agregat halus dengan tayar sisa serbuk getah iaitu micronized (MRP) dalam pengeluaran UHPC telah dikaji. Penggantian peringkat MRP terdiri daripada 5%, 10% dan 15% daripada berat agregat halus digunakan. Dalam kajian ini, kesan penggunaan peratusan yang berbeza MRP kepada sifat-sifat UHPC tertakluk kepada rintangan ketahanan dikaji. Untuk ujian ketahanan, spesimen UHPC telah direndam di dalam larutan dan magnesium sulfat asid hidroklorik untuk 14, 28 dan 60 hari pendedahan. Selepas rendam, spesimen terdedah telah diuji untuk menentukan kekuatan dan kehilangan jisim mereka masing-masing. Dari hasil, UHPC dengan WRP telah sangat menentang terhadap serangan asid dan sulfat. Kajian ini telah membuktikan getah di UHPC telah secara drastik mengurangkan kekuatan mampatan tetapi masih lebih kuat daripada konkrit biasa. Ia berharap, kajian ini boleh menjadi berguna untuk inovasi masa depan dengan penggunaan tayar sisa dalam industri pembinaan.

ABSTRACT

Solid waste disposal from waste by-products are one of the major environmental issue nowadays such as tires disposal. Previously, the utilization of recycled tires as aggregate replacement in conventional concrete production have been explored. In comparison, ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) is introduce in this present study. Nowadays, UHPC has become famous due to it high strength that can achieved up to 100 MPa. Besides, UHPC was produced by using low water cement ratio and replacing the OPC with 10% silica fume improved significantly its workability, strength and durability. Therefore, an idea to replace the amount of fine aggregate with waste tires namely micronized rubber powder (MRP) in UHPC production was studied. The levels replacement of MRP comprises of 5%, 10% and 15% from the weight of fine aggregate used. In this present study, the effect of using different percentage of MRP to the UHPC properties subjected to durability resistance was investigated. For durability test, the UHPC specimens were immersed in hydrochloric acid solution and magnesium sulphate solution for 14, 28 and 60 days of exposure. After immersion, the exposed specimens were tested to determine the strength and its mass loss respectively. From the result, UHPC with WRP were highly resist towards acid and sulphate attack. This study had proved the rubber in UHPC had drastically reduce in compressive strength but still stronger than ordinary concrete. It is hope that, this study could be useful for future innovation with the use of waste rubber in construction industry.

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	
TITLE PAGE	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objective of Study	3
1.4 Scope of Study	4
1.5 Significant of Study	4
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 History of UHPC development	8
2.3 Advantages of UHPC	9
2.3.1 Strength	9
2.3.2 Workability	10
2.3.3 Durability	11
2.4 Curing effect of UHPC	13

2.5	Effect of waste material in UHPC	14
2.6	Micronized Rubber Powder	17
2.6.1	Physical Characteristic of Rubber Powder	17
2.6.2	Chemical Analysis	18
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Experimental Program	20
3.3	Material Selection	21
3.3.1	Cement	21
3.3.2	Aggregate	22
3.3.3	Water	23
3.3.4	Superplasticizer	24
3.3.5	Silica Fume	24
3.3.6	Micronized Rubber Powder (MRP)	24
3.4	Preparation of Specimens	25
3.4.1	Mix Proportions	26
3.4.2	Number of Specimens	27
3.4.3	Size of Specimens	29
3.4.4	Batching Mixing and Casting	30
3.4.5	Curing	31
3.4.6	Cube Moulds	32
3.5	Compressive Strength Test	32
3.6	Durability Test	34
3.6.1	Acid Test	35
3.6.2	Sulphate Test	36

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	38
4.1 Introduction	38
4.2 Micronized Rubber Powder Properties	38
4.3 Visual Appearance of Rubberized-UHPC	39
4.4 Compressive Strength of Rubberized-Ultra-High Performance Concrete result	39
4.5 Result on Acid Test of Rubberized-UHPC:	45
4.6 Result on Sulphate Test of Rubberized-UHPC:	52
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	59
5.1 Introduction	59
5.2 Conclusions	59
5.3 Recommendations	60
REFERENCES	61

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	Compressive Strength According to SHRP	7
Table 2.2:	UHPC Compressive Strength	10
Table 2.3:	Mixes Proportion of UHPC tested	11
Table 2.4:	Summary Result of UHPC	11
Table 2.5:	Quantity of all ingredient for producing trial UHPC	15
Table 2.5:	Flow and Compressive Strength of trial UHPC	16
Table 2.6:	Rubber Powder Physical Characteristics	17
Table 2.7:	Rubber Powder Chemical Content	18
Table 3.1:	Mix Proportion of rubberized-UHPC	26
Table 3.2:	Mix Proportion of rubberized-UHPC	26
Table 3.3:	Number of Concrete Specimen	27
Table 4.1	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-0MRP Control Mixes Cured In Water With Different Curing Ages	40
Table 4.2:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-5MRP Cured In Water with Different Curing Ages.	41
Table 4.3:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-10MRP Cured In Water with Different Curing Ages	42
Table 4.4:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-15MRP Cured In Water with Different Curing Ages	43
Table 4.5:	Summary Result of Compressive Strength on Rubberized-UHPC	44
Table 4.6:	Result of Acid Test on Rubberized-UHPC and Mix Control	46
Table 4.7:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-0MRP Immersed In Acid Solution with Different Durations Of Exposure.	48
Table 4.8:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-5MRP Immersed In Acid Solution.	49

Table 4.9:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-10MRP Immerge In Acid Solution.	50
Table 4.10:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-15MRP Immerge In Acid Solution.	51
Table 4.11:	Result of Sulphate Test on Rubberized-UHPC	53
Table 4.12:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-0MRP Immersed In Sulphate Solution.	54
Table 4.13:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-5MRP Immerge Cured In Sulphate Solution.	55
Table 4.14:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-10MRP Immerge In Sulphate Solution.	56
Table 4.15:	Average Compressive Strength of UHPC-15MRP Immerge In Sulphate Solution With Different Curing Durations.	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Percentage Mass Loss	12
Figure 2.2:	Percentage Strength Loss	12
Figure 2.3:	Compressive Strength of K-UHPC	13
Figure 3.1:	Experimental Process Flow	20
Figure 3.2:	Ordinary Portland Cement	21
Figure 3.3:	Fine Aggregate	23
Figure 3.4:	Micronized Rubber Powder (MRP)	25
Figure 3.65:	Dimension of Cube Specimens	29
Figure 3.6:	Automatic Concrete Mixer	30
Figure 3.7:	Curing Tank	31
Figure 3.8:	Concrete mould used	32
Figure 3.9:	Specimens and Curing Tank	33
Figure 3.10:	Specimens Air Dried	33
Figure 3.11:	Compressive Strength Machine	34
Figure 3.12:	Specimens Submerge into Acid Solution	35
Figure 3.13:	Specimens Subjected to Compression Test After Exposed to Acid Attack	36
Figure 3.14:	Powder of Magnesium Sulphate	36
Figure 3.15:	Specimens Submerge into Sulphate Solution	37
Figure 3.16:	Specimens Subjected to Compression Test After Exposed to Sulphate Attack	37
Figure 4.1:	Physical Appearance of Rubberized-UHPC and UHPC	39
Figure 4.2:	Compressive Strength using Different Curing duration of UHPC-0MRP	40
Figure 4.3:	Compressive Strength using Different Curing duration of UHPC-5MRP	41

Figure 4.4:	Compressive Strength using Different Curing duration of UHPC-10MRP	42
Figure 4.5:	Compressive Strength using Different Curing duration of UHPC-15MRP	43
Figure 4.6:	Compressive Strength using Different Percentage of MRP	44
Figure 4.7:	Specimens After Exposed 60 days to HCl Solution	46
Figure 4.8:	Weight Loss of UHPC using Different Percentage of MRP	47
Figure 4.9:	Compressive Strength using Different Exposed Duration of UHPC-0MRP	48
Figure 4.10:	Compressive Strength using Different Exposed duration of UHPC-5MRP	49
Figure 4.11:	Compressive Strength using Different Exposed duration of UHPC-10MRP	50
Figure 4.12:	Compressive Strength using Different Expose duration of UHPC-15MRP	51
Figure 4.14:	Weight Loss of UHPC using Different Percentage of MRP	53
Figure 4.15:	Compressive Strength using Different Expose duration of UHPC-0MRP	55
Figure 4.16:	Compressive Strength using Different Expose duration of UHPC-5MRP	56
Figure 4.17:	Compressive Strength using Different Expose duration of UHPC-10MRP	57
Figure 4.18:	Compressive Strength using Different Expose duration of UHPC-15MRP	58

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

Waste rubber tire have become environmental problem due to its non-biodegradable characteristic. Until now the way to recycle and disposed them is a challenge. Tires are made of complex mixtures which rubber, black carbon, steel cord and other organic compound. The increasing of waste rubber tire gradually increase every year. There are about 1.5 billion waste tires are generated annually. With statistic data, in 2002 there was 80 million tires were created and 120 million in 2010 which makes 12% of enlargement (Jinhua et al., 2015). This upsurge have become have become major environmental problems. There are various method of recycling waste tires such as combustion, retreating or reusing the tire and there are pros and cons of using these methods.

Previous research, several researchers have been replaced aggregate partially with waste rubber tire in concrete. High Performance Concrete (HPC) with rubber waste have good resistance towards acid attack but could lead to serious compressive strength loss (Azevedo et al., 2012). Concrete with rubber as partial replacement has high sound insulation with high ductility and toughness but lower compressive and tensile strength (Siddique et al. 2008) It is possible to use of rubber waste up to 15% and still maintain a low capillary water absorption without affect the resistance of

concrete towards sulphuric acid attack. But to maintain the compression strength, the partial replacement of total volume must not higher than 5% (Azevedo et al. 2012). Rubber with 5% to 15% of fine aggregate also could improve the resistance to sulphate attack (Jinhua et al., 2015). It is found that crump rubber in concrete have loss bonding ability which affect the strength of the concrete (Selvakumar et al., 2015).

Recently, the researcher had developed a new high durable concrete that surpass HPC strength which is known as Ultra High Performance Concrete (UHPC). UHPC also known as reactive powder concrete (RPC), is a ductile material formulated by combining OPC, silica fume, quartz flour, fine silica sand, high-range water reducer, water, and steel or organic fibres. The compressive strengths of UHPC can be range from 100 MPa to 200 MPa and flexural strengths could up to 50 MPa (Sudha et al., 2014). Since UHPC has high compressive strength and excellent durability properties, it is possible to produce lighter products and longer life with thinner sections (Rahman et al., 2015).

Overall, UHPC have many advantages in term of construction time, aesthetics value, superior durability, and permeability against corrosion, abrasion and impact to reduced maintenance and a longer life span for the structure (Azevedo et al., 2012). In this study, the durability and compressive strength of UHPC with finer waste tire which is micronized rubber powder (MRP) as fine aggregate replacement will be investigated.

1.2 Problem Statement

There are huge environmental impact of concrete and some effect are very harmful and some interrupt the sustainability. Aggregate and cement are the primary ingredient in manufacturing concrete. These natural resources are highly demanded due to many construction project nowadays that lead to massive exploitation of natural resources and pollution. Nowadays, the continuous extraction of natural aggregate, fine aggregate, and gravel which taken from water bodies such as river beds and lake have led to erosion which eventually leads to landslide and flood. Afterwards, there will be less rainwater filtration and could cause contamination of water for human

consumption. Besides, the production of cement emits a huge amount of carbon dioxide which is a one of the cause of greenhouse and acid rain pollution.

The dumping of tire also one of the huge environmental global issue. Manufacturing tires are gradually increase every year due to its high demand for vehicle such as jet, airplane, and others. The problems are these kind of vehicle need to change the tire about one times a year depend on the usage. When the number of people increase, the number of vehicle also increase and increase the number of dumping tires every year. Even though there are various method to recycle waste tires, these user increment will slow down the effectiveness to dispose waste tires. The method to dispose waste tire need to be improvise and increased in order to exceed the number of waste tire produced.

1.3 Objective of Study

The objectives of study are:

- i. To compare the compressive strength using different percentage of rubber powder
- ii. To compare the percentage of weight loss after immersed in sulphuric acid and magnesium sulphate
- iii. To compare the percentage of strength loss after immersed in sulphuric acid and magnesium sulphate

REFERENCES

- Sundha Rani, Rajesh Agnihotri, (2014). Recycling of scrap tyres.
- Melik Bekhiti, Habib Trouzine, Aissa Asroun, (2014). Properties of Waste Tire Rubber Powder.
- Reju R, Jiji Jacob G. , (2012). Investigations on the Chemical Durability Properties of Ultra High Performance Fibre Reinforced Concrete.
- Jaylina Rana, Reshma RRughooputh, (2016). Partial Replacement of Fine Aggregates by Rubber in Concrete
- M. Naveen Saviour. (2012). Environmental Impact Of Soil And Sand Mining:A Review
- Ako T. A., Onoduku U. S., Oke S. A., Essien B. I., Idris F. N., Umar A. N., Ahmed A. A. . (2014). Environmental Effects of Sand and Gravel Mining on Land and Soil in Luku, Minna, Niger State, North Central Nigeria.
- Buielaar. (2004). Ultra-High Performance Concrete: Developments and Applications during 25 years
- G.F. Peng, J. Yang, Q.Q. Long, X.J. Niu, and Q.P. Zeng1e. (2016). Comparison between Ultra-High-Performance Concretes with Recycled Steel Fiber and Normal Industrial Steel Fiber.
- Mo Alkaysi, Sherif El-Tawil, Zhichao Liu, and Will Hansen. (2016). Effects of Silica Powder and Cement Type On Durability of Ultra High Performance Concrete (UHPC).
- Jong-Sup Park, Young Jin Kim, Jeong-Rae Cho and Se-Jin Jeon. (2015). Early-Age Strength of Ultra-High Performance Concrete in Various Curing Conditions.
- Shamsad Ahmad, Ibrahim Hakeem, and Mohammed Maslehuddin. (2014). Development of UHPC Mixtures Utilizing Natural and Industrial Waste Materials as Partial Replacements of Silica Fume and Sand.